

EJ = Erik Jacobson

JS = Jean Schwob

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2014-10-30	Document: <b>C00011 validation</b>	Project: IEV Part 904
------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------

MB/ NC <sup>1</sup>	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat  Convenor
EJ		First 3	items	tech	It is not explained how these items are to be considered; are they going to replace the existing terms in the IEV?	Please clarify.	The three first items had not been changed, except for addition of a <specific use>. Without this addition they would constitute conflicting entries to the entries in C00011.
JS		902-02-03	term	tech	There is nothing about conformity assesment in the entry. See also below 904-01-07.		902-02-03 was approved under change request C00004. C00011 only proposes the addition of a <specific use>. This is not accepted by JS, so 902-02-03 remains unchanged.
EJ		395-07-87	term	tech	What is meant here by “function” it seems to be not a function in mathematics	Give a reference for “function” OR Replace “function” by “activity” (cf. 904-01-10)	395-07-08 is one of the three entries dealt with at the beginning of this list, i.e only the addition of a specific use was requested. The concept “function” is not restricted to mathematics, see e.g. 903-02-05 “horizontal safety function” or 903-02-06 “group safety function”. As the addition of the specific

<sup>1</sup> **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

EJ = Erik Jacobson

JS = Jean Schwob

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2014-10-30	Document: <b>C00011 validation</b>	Project: IEV Part 904
------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------

MB/ NC <sup>1</sup>	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat  Convenor
							use was not contested, it will be added.

<sup>1</sup> **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

EJ = Erik Jacobson

JS = Jean Schwob

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2014-10-30	Document: <b>C00011 validation</b>	Project: IEV Part 904
------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------

MB/ NC <sup>1</sup>	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat  Convenor
EJ		702-02-03	term	tech	This item comes from a Chapter which seems to have no parent committee; so its validity is questionable		??  Specific use to be added.
EJ		904-01-01	definition	tech	A product is a system too [151-11-27].  An enumeration ("air, water, land, natural resources, flora, fauna, humans and their interrelation") must not be part of a definition, as it may be incomplete.  The term "natural resources" is not defined, it may include most of the terms in the enumeration. In this context the term "their interrelation" is not defined and makes no sense. At least there is more than one interrelation.	surroundings in which a system exists  NOTE The surroundings include air, water, land, natural resources, flora, fauna, humans and their interrelations	The concept "environment" is indispensable for this IEV part and cannot be withdrawn. The entry has been taken over from IEC Guide 109, author of which is ACEA, the Advisory Committee on Environmental Aspects. No change possible without agreement of ACEA. Submit a separate change request, if so wished.
JS		904-01-05	definition	tech	There are other definitions in I EV, in particular a similar concept in 351-42-33.		IEV 192-01-08 already presents the concept identical to 904-01-05, also the source references are identical. No reason to delete 904-01-05, as the concept would still remain in the IEV. In 351-42-33, the term "process" appears as second term after "process in control technology". It is obvious that there "process" refers also to process in control technology. WG100 is asked to consider revision/with - drawal of 113-01-06.

<sup>1</sup> **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

EJ = Erik Jacobson

JS = Jean Schwob

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2014-10-30

Document: **C00011 validation**

Project: IEV Part 904

MB/ NC <sup>1</sup>	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat  Convenor
JS		904-01-07		tech	The definition is not consistent with the definition 904-01-05 of process, where the result of a process is an output. The term is so much used in IEV (and more generally in IEC) that it should be considered as a fundamental concept.		Withdrawn from C00011. WG100 is asked for preparing a fundamental definition for "product".
EJ		904-01-10	term	tech	The term is self explained by the name	Omit this entry	Maybe self-explaining but not wrong. Wish of TC111 to keep this entry.
EJ		904-01-11	term	tech	Emission in general is much more universal, see item 702-02-03	Ad specific use <in environmental protection>	On the contrary, 702-02-03 refers to emission of energy only, whereas 904-01-11 refers to emission of radiation and material and therefore is the general concept.
JS		904-01-11	term	tech	There is no specific use to distinguish from 702-02-03 (and 845-04-01).		904-01-11 has no specific use, so it can be resolved as it is. 702-02-03, however, has a specific use (emission of radiation only, not of material). This specific use must be indicated, in order to distinguish between the two different concepts. In 845-01-01 the specific use is already indicated.
EJ		904-01-11	definition	tech	An enumeration ("air, water, soil") must not be part of a definition, as it may be incomplete.  In item 904-01-01 the enumeration contained beside others "land" instead of soil	Replace the enumeration by a defined term, i.e. "environment"  Otherwise harmonize wording.	Acceptable, but or formal reasons a new change request has to be circulated, as VT members voted on the old version.
JS		904-01-16	Definition	tech	The term is already defined in 192-01-09.		Withdrawn from C00011.

<sup>1</sup> **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

EJ = Erik Jacobson

JS = Jean Schwob

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2014-10-30	Document: <b>C00011 validation</b>	Project: IEV Part 904
------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------

MB/ NC <sup>1</sup>	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat  Convenor
EJ		904-01-16	definition	tech	What is meant by “product system,”	Please clarify.	Taken over from Guide 109 (ACEA). To be withdrawn due to FR proposal.
EJ		904-02-01	definition	tech	The definition is quite clumsy; the inclusion of “additive necessary to preserve its stability and any impurity deriving from the process” is quite vague, and no limitation is given.	Replace the definition by “chemical element or compound of elements”  AND transfer the remainder into a NOTE:  The substance may be in the natural state or obtained by any manufacturing process, including any additive necessary to preserve its stability and any impurity deriving from the process used, but excluding any solvent which may be separated without affecting its stability or changing its composition.	Definition is taken from UN ECE <i>Globally harmonized system of classification and labelling (GHS)</i> : 2003. For that reason TC111 requests that the definition be approved as it is.  Submit a separate change request, if so wished.
EJ		904-02-03	term	tech	The term “matrix” is used also in mathematics.	Ad specific use <in environmental protection>	This addition was already proposed to TC111 during the evaluation stage. TC111 response: <i>Not agreed. The contexts are so different that such a note would bring more confusion than clarification.</i>  Add a note: For “matrix” in mathematics see IEV 102-06-01.
EJ		904-02-07	term	tech	The term “screening” is used also in other context.	Ad specific use <in environmental protection>	No other entry of “screening” in the IEV, i.e. no need for adding a <specific use>.
EJ		904-03-01 to 904-03-15	Definition, tables	tech	What is meant here by “function” it seems to be not a function in mathematics	Give e reference for “function”  OR Replace “function” by “activity”.	The concept “function” is not restricted to mathematics, see e.g. 903-02-05 “horizontal safety

<sup>1</sup> **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

EJ = Erik Jacobson

JS = Jean Schwob

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2014-10-30

Document: **C00011 validation**

Project: IEV Part 904

MB/ NC <sup>1</sup>	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat  Convenor
							function" or 903-02-06 "group safety function".
JS		904-03-09			It is not clear whether the meaning is "distinct status or distinct operating condition of a system" or "operating condition or distinct status of a system". The French translation is for the first interpretation.		Comment accepted, but no need for withdrawal. To be resolved.
JS		904-03-16			The specific use should be in the definition itself as an essential characteristic of the concept. There are already four definitions in IEV.(two are identical).		Withdrawn from C00011.
EJ		904-03-16	term	ed	The qualification is too long and not defined		Change of qualification was already proposed to TC111 during evaluation. TC111 response: <i>Not agreed. This definition needs a specific context to avoid confusion</i>  Withdrawn due to FR proposal.
EJ		904-03-16	Definition	tech	The term "power mode" is not defined		See before
RS		general					All withdrawn entries shall be submitted to TC111 for consideration of the comments. They may lead to new change requests.

<sup>1</sup> **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial